Case 5:24-cv-01321-BLF Document 133 Filed 12/19/24 Page 1 of 7

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff SVB Financial Group ("Plaintiff"), and Defendants the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as Receiver for Silicon Valley Bank ("FDIC-R1") and Silicon Valley Bridge Bank, N.A. ("FDIC-R2") (together with FDIC-R1, "Defendants"; and together with Plaintiff, "Parties"), submit this Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order.

WHEREAS, counsel from Keker, Van Nest & Peters LLP filed its Motion to Substitute as Plaintiff's Counsel and first appeared as counsel of record for Plaintiff on this day, December 19, 2024;

WHEREAS, counsel from Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP filed its Notice of Association and first appeared as counsel of record for Plaintiff on this day, December 19, 2024;

WHEREAS, in the interest of streamlining the case, Plaintiff does not plan to file an Amended Complaint and therefore Defendants' Answer is due on December 20, 2024, by prior agreement of the Parties;

WHEREAS, Defendants intend to assert affirmative defenses and/or counterclaims in their Answer;

WHEREAS, after review of Defendants' forthcoming Answer, Plaintiff reserves the right to move to strike or dismiss such defenses and/or counterclaims, or a portion thereof, or other portions of the Answer;

WHEREAS, party document discovery is set to close on January 31, 2025 (Dkt 63), and thus the last day to serve requests for the production of documents is January 1, 2025;

WHEREAS, counsel for Plaintiff and Defendants have met and conferred regarding their schedules and the needs of the case;

WHEREAS, Defendants have requested a short extension of the time to Answer the Complaint, and both Parties agree that it would be in the Parties' best interests and in the interest of judicial efficiency to extend: (1) Defendants' time to Answer to January 10, 2025; (2) Plaintiff's time to move to strike and/or dismiss any defenses and/or counterclaims or any other portion of Defendants' Answer to February 14, 2025; and (3) both Parties' time to propound additional requests for production of documents to January 20, 2025;

1	WHEREAS, no time or deadline modifications have occurred in this case since the					
2	Court's July 19, 2024 Order granting the Parties' stipulated case schedule (Dkt 63);					
3	WHEREAS, the extension will not alter any other date or deadline already fixed by the					
4	Court.					
5	NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES AGREE AND JOINTLY STIPULATE:					
6	1. Defendants shall file their Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint no later than 5:00 p.m.					
7	EST on January 10, 2025.					
8	2. Plaintiff shall file any motion to strike and/or dismiss any defenses and/or					
9	counterclaims asserted in Defendants' Answer and/or any other portion of Defendants' Answer					
10	no later than February 14, 2025.					
11	3. Plaintiff and Defendants shall have until 5:00 p.m. EST on January 20, 2025 to					
12	propound any additional requests for production of documents.					
13	IT IS SO STIPULATED.					
14						
15	Dated: December 19, 2024 KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP					
16	By: /s/Robert Van Nest					
17	ROBERT A. VAN NEST JAN NIELSEN LITTLE					
18	JULIA L. ALLEN MAYA JAMES					
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff SVB FINANCIAL					
20	GROUP					
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28	2					
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND TO EXTEND RELATED DEADLINES					
	Case No. 5:24-cv-01321-BLF					

	Case 5:24-cv-01321-BLF	Document 133	Filed 12/19/24 Page 4 of 7
1 2	Dated: December 19, 2024		DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
		By:	/s/ Jonathan K. Chang
3		- y ·	JONATHAN K. CHANG - # 355907 900 Middlefield Road
4			Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone: 650 752 2000
5			jonathan.chang@davispolk.com
6			MARSHALL S. HUEBNER (pro hac vice
7			pending) ELLIOT MOSKOWITZ (pro hac vice
8 9			pending) KATHRYN S. BENEDICT (pro hac vice pending)
10			450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017
11			Telephone: 212 450 4000 marshall.huebner@davispolk.com
12			elliot.moskowitz@davispolk.com kathryn.benedict@davispolk.com
13			Attorneys for Plaintiff SVB FINANCIAL GROUP
14			GROOT
15	Dated: December 19, 2024		REED SMITH LLP
16			
17		By:	/s/ Casey D. Laffey CASEY D. LAFFEY (pro hac vice)
18			599 Lexington Avenue, Ste 22 New York, NY 10022
19			Telephone: 212-549-0389 Email: claffey@reedsmith.com
20			Attorneys for Defendants FEDERAL
21			DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, AS RECEIVER FOR SILICON VALLEY DANK and EEDERAL DEPOSIT
22			BANK and FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, AS
23			RECEIVER FOR SILICON VALLEY BRIDGE BANK, N.A.
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND TO EXTEND RELATED DEADLINES		
	Case No. 5:24-cv-01321-BLF		

	Case 5:24-cv-01321-BLF	Document 133	Filed 12/19/24 Page 5 of 7		
1	Dated: December 19, 2024		BAILEY & GLASSER		
2	Batea: Becomes 19, 2021		BINDET & GENOSEIK		
3		By:	/s/ Stephen Sorensen		
4			STEPHEN SORENSEN (pro hac vice) 1055 Thomas Jefferson, Suite 540		
5			Washington, DC 20007 Telephone: 202-463-2101		
6			Email: ssorensen@baileyglasser.com Attorneys for Defendants FEDERAL		
7			DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, AS RECEIVER FOR SILICON VALLEY		
8			BANK and FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, AS		
9			RECEIVER FOR SILICON VALLEY BRIDGE BANK, N.A.		
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18 19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	JOINT STIPULATION AND FPR	OPOSED] ORDER TO	4 EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND TO		
	EXTEND RELATED DEADLINES Case No. 5:24-cv-01321-BLF				
	2843134	Case 110. 3.24-	V-V1341-DLI		

Case 5:24-cv-01321-BLF Document 133 Filed 12/19/24 Page 6 of 7 **ATTESTATION** I am the ECF user whose identification and password are being used to file the foregoing Stipulation and Proposed Order. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories. Dated: December 19, 2024 By: /s/ Robert Van Nest ROBERT VAN NEST

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: _____ HON. BETH LABSON FREEMAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND TO EXTEND RELATED DEADLINES Case No. 5:24-cv-01321-BLF